



Web 2.0 and Mobile Operator Service Offering

MobiArch Panel

James Kempf kempf@docomolabs-usa.com December 1, 2006





Just a Dumb Pipe?

- IETF/IP position: mobile operators should just offer a dumb pipe
 - View of some WiMax Forum standards guys too
- Mobile networks are considerably more expensive to deploy than fixed networks
 - Spectrum cost (for licensed spectrum)
 - Base station siteing and equipment cost
- Consumer market often doesn't know what to do with raw connectivity
 - Much better business prospects if service targeted at consumer market
- Slow uptake, low profitability (if any), lousy business
 - Why should anybody invest?





Japanese Experience

- Wireless services in Japan are targeted at the consumer market
 - US (data) wireless services are targeted primarily at the business market
- Consumers buy wireless if there is an attractive service offering
 - Business users have particular applications (email, etc.) that don't require bundled services
- Consumer market has much better business prospects because volume is much larger
 - DoCoMo's imode customer base runs to 50 million
 - But harder to succeed because consumer tastes are more difficult to predict
- Modularity and well defined interfaces between components aren't there
 yet for disaggregating mobile services
 - Christensen's critera for successful commoditization is modular decomposability with well-defined interfaces
- Integrated operators still most successful at providing a broad variety of services useful to consumers
 - Will WiMax change this?





Web 2.0 and Mobile Operators

- Web 2.0 business model
 - Service provider: framework for content generation
 - Users: generate content
 - Revenue: advertising based on content
- Web 2.0 copyrighted content business model (iTunes, Bittorrent deal)
 - Service provider: copyright content with DRM to protect rights
 - Users: consume content
 - Revenue: from selling content
- Mobile Operator business model
 - Service provider: transaction or subscription based voice and data services
 - Users: common carrier role, no explicit content
 - In fact, carrier is explicitly prevented from saving content by law
 - Revenue: metered voice and metered or subscription-based data access
- Can the Web 2.0 model work for mobile services?
 - Enough advertising to support mobile operator's business?
- When will Skype become "good enough" to start displacing bread and butter metered voice business?
 - Trouble then!